ORIGINAL **OPEN MEETING**



410

MEMORANDUM RECEIVED

TO:

THE COMMISSION

2006 NOV 17 A 8: 25

FROM: Utilities Division

AZ CORP COMMISSION

DATE:

November 17, 2006

DOCUMENT CONTROL

RE:

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY, NOTICE OF STEP-ONE ACRM FILING FOR ITS HAVASU WATER DISTRICT (DOCKET NOS. W-01303A-05-0280: WS-01303A-02-0867;

WS-01303A-02-0869; AND WS-01303A-02-0870)

Introduction:

Pursuant to Decision No. 68310, Arizona-American Water Company ("Company", "Applicant" or "Arizona-American") filed an application on April 4, 2006, with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") requesting authorization to implement Step One of the Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism ("ACRM").

Arizona-American requests a Step-One ACRM surcharge of \$5.74 on the monthly customer charge and \$0.6073 per 1,000 gallons on the commodity rate. Under the Company's proposal, the average residential customer bill would increase by approximately \$12.49 from \$25.53 to \$38.01 (48.9 percent).

Staff recommends a Step-One ACRM surcharge of \$5.62 on the monthly customer charge and \$0.6302 per 1,000 gallons on the commodity rate. Under Staff's rate design, the average residential customer bill would increase by approximately \$12.01 (47.1 percent) from \$25.53 to \$37.54.

Background

The United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") reduced the drinking water standard for arsenic from 50 parts per billion ("ppb") to 10 ppb effective January 23, 2006.

On November 22 and December 13, 2002, the Company filed applications with the Commission for fair value determinations of its utility plant and for permanent rate increases for five of its districts (Sun City West Water and Wastewater, Sun City Water and Wastewater, Havasu and Mohave Water, Agua Fria Water and Wastewater, and Tubac Water). On June 30, 2004, the Commission issued Decision No. 67093 establishing permanent rate increases for these five districts.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

NOV 17 2006

DOCKETED BY

On February 15, 2005, the Commission issued Decision No. 67593 granting the Company's request to reopen the record in Decision No. 67093 for the limited purpose of serving as evidentiary basis for future ACRM filings for the affected Arizona-American water districts.

By Procedural Order issued March 29, 2005, Arizona-American was directed to file a new application indicating the relief sought regarding the ACRM, and to consolidate the new application with those existing dockets from Decision No. 67093 that would be affected by the specific relief request in its filing.

On April 15, 2005, the Company filed the above captioned application (Docket No. W-01303A-05-0280) for authority to implement ACRMs for its Agua Fria Water, Sun City West Water, Havasu Water, and Tubac Water Districts.

On May 4, 2005, the Company filed a Motion to Delete the Tubac Water District from its application.

By Procedural Order issued May 6, 2005, the Company's request to delete the Tubac Water District from its application was approved.

On November 14, 2005, the Commission issued Decision No. 68310 granting Arizona-American Water Company's application for authority to implement an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism and a Havasu District Arsenic Impact Fee ("AIF") Tariff subject to the terms and conditions contained in the Decision.

On April 21, 2006, Arizona-American Water Company, Inc. filed an application with the Commission requesting authorization to implement Step-One of the ACRM for its Agua Fria water district. On June, 29, 2006, in Decision No. 68825, the Commission authorized Arizona-American's request to implement Step-One of the ACRM for its Agua Fria Water District.

On May 8, 2006, the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") filed its report on its audit of the ACRM for this instant case.

On May 11, 2006, the Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") issued a memorandum and Recommended Opinion and Order for this instant case.

On May 26, 2006, RUCO filed exceptions to the Proposed Order. RUCO took exception to three items. First, RUCO was not mentioned as having participated in the ACRM process for the Company's Havasu Water District. Second, RUCO disallowed \$45,655 of overhead costs that could not be directly charged to a specific task order. Third, RUCO amended its first report which recommended removing \$7,591 of AFUDC charges from plant to adopt Staff's recommendation to remove \$26,968 of AFUDC charges. RUCO's restated monthly minimum surcharge is \$5.62 and its commodity charge is \$0.6302 per thousand gallons.

THE COMMISSION November 17, 2006 Page 3

On May 30, 2006, the Company filed a response to the proposed order regarding implementation of the ACRM. The response requests to modify the requirement set forth in Decision No. 68310 for the Company to file a rate case no later than April 30, 2008, based on a 2007 test year by extending the filing date by 31 days to May 31, 2008.

The item came up for consideration by the Commissioners at the May 31, 2006 Open Meeting.

The Company at that time agreed to waive the overhead charges of \$45,655 which RUCO eliminated in its exceptions filed on May 26, 2006.

The item was pulled from consideration by the Commissioners to recognize RUCO's participation and proposed modifications to the Company's filing.

This Memorandum is an update that recognizes RUCO's participation in the proceeding and presents the effect of recognizing RUCO's revised recommendations.

Staff's original recommendation for the Havasu ACRM surcharge would have increased the average residential customer charge at 10,140 gallons by \$12.30, (48.2 percent) from \$25.33 to \$37.83, based on a meter charge increase of \$5.76 and a commodity charge increase of 0.6453 per thousand gallons.

The removal of the overhead charges of \$45,655 decreases the average residential customer charge by \$0.29 from \$37.83 to \$37.54. The required monthly minimum decreases by \$0.14 from \$5.76 to \$5.62 and the commodity charge per thousand gallons decreases by \$0.0151 from \$0.6453 to \$0.6302.

Authorization for an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism (Decision No. 68310)

Decision No. 68310 conditioned approval of an ACRM surcharge on the following criteria:

- 1. Arizona-American shall comply with all requirements discussed in this Order as a condition of approval of the Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism.
- 2. Arizona-American shall file a plan with Docket Control by December 31, 2005, that describes how the Company expects to attain and maintain a capital structure (equity, long-term debt, and short-term debt) with equity representing between 40 and 60 percent of total capital.
- 3. Arizona-American shall file, by April 1st of each year subsequent to any year in which in collects surcharges under an ACRM, a report with the Utilities Division Director showing the Company's ending capital structure by month for the prior year.

- 4. Arizona-American shall modify the rate base calculation for the Havasu Water District to explicitly show a deduction for Arsenic Impact Fee collections.
- 5. That as part of the Earnings Test schedule filed in support of the ACRM, Arizona-American shall incorporate adjustments conforming to Decision No. 67093.
- 6. Arizona-American shall file the schedules discussed in its application, as modified by Staff's recommendations herein. Microsoft Excel or compatible electronic versions of the filings and all work papers should be filed concurrently with all ACRM filings.
- 7. Arizona-American shall file permanent rate applications for its Sun City West, Agua Fria, and Havasu districts by no later than April 30, 2008, based on a 2007 test year.
- 8. For the Havasu District, Arizona-American shall file with Docket Control by January 31st of each year, an annual calendar year status report, until the AIF Tariff is no longer in effect. The status report shall contain a list of all customers that have paid the AIF, the amount each customer has paid, the amount of money spent from the AIF, and a list of all facilities that have been installed with funds from the AIF Tariff.
- 9. Arizona-American shall file the schedules and information described above, as well as any additional relevant data requested by Staff, as part of any request for an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism step increase.
- 10. The Commission is concerned about the impact on the bill of customers served by the Havasu system from the implementation of the ACRM. Consequently, we direct Staff and the Company to open a new proceeding to examine other forms of mitigation of the ACRM for the Havasu system, including the use of hook-up fees for adjacent systems.

Filing Requirements Compliance (Decision No. 68310)

Staff performed an examination of the Havasu Water District ACRM filing and concluded that it conforms to the requirement specified in Decision No. 68310.

The Company's Havasu Water District filing includes the following schedules:

- 1. Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2005.
- 2. Income Statement period ending December 31, 2005.
- 3. Income Statement Adjustments (Earnings Test) to conform to Decision No. 67093.
- 4. Rate Review a rate review filing for the Havasu Water District.

- 5. Due Requirement an arsenic revenue requirement calculation for Step One.
- 6. Surcharge Calculation a detailed surcharge calculation.
- 7. Rate Base a schedule showing the elements and the calculation of the rate base, including the deduction for Arsenic Impact Fee Contributions as of April 1, 2006.
- 8. Construction Work In Progress ("CWIP") Ledger a ledger showing the construction work in progress account.
- 9. 4-Factor Allocation for December 2005 a schedule showing the allocation factors for all of the Arizona-American Districts.
- 10. Typical Bill Analysis ACRM Step 1 A typical bill analysis showing the effects on residential customers at various consumption levels as well as the Average Residential change at 10,140 gallons.

The ACRM schedules provide for the calculation of a surcharge based on financial records and an Earnings Test Schedule that limit the ACRM surcharge revenue to an amount that would not result in a rate of return exceeding that authorized in Decision 67093.

Authorization of the Company's requested ACRM in Decision No. 68310 was conditioned upon three other items:

- 1. Arizona-American Water Company shall file a plan with Docket Control by December 31, 2005, that describes how the Company expects to attain and maintain a capital structure (equity, long-term debt, and short-term) with equity representing between 40 and 60 percent of total capital. The Applicant docketed an equity plan on November 30, 2005.
- 2. For the Havasu District, Arizona-American Water Company shall file with Docket Control by January 31st of each year, an annual calendar year status report, until the AIF Tariff is no longer in effect. The status report shall contain a list of all customers that have paid the AIF. The amount each customer has paid, the amount of money spent from the AIF and list of all facilities that have been installed with funds from the AIF Tariff. The Applicant docketed an AIF compliance report on February 2, 2006.
- 3. The Commission is concerned about the impact on the bills of customers served by the Havasu system from the implementation of the ACRM. Consequently, we direct Staff and the Company to open a new proceeding to examine other forms of mitigation of the ACRM for the Havasu system, including the use of hook-up fees for adjacent systems. Compliance with this condition is met by Docket No. W-01303A-05-0890.

Staff's Adjustments to Company Schedules:

Staff made two adjustments to the Company's Havasu Water District Filing. The revised filing removes AFUDC charges of \$26,968 and Overhead charges of \$45,655 (per the Company's agreement with RUCO's exception dated May 26, 2006) which reduced the Company's arsenic treatment plant/rate base from \$1,941,792 to \$1,869,701, and the revenue requirement from \$272,294 to \$262,110.

A rate design that generates 50 percent of the revenue requirement from minimum monthly charges and 50 percent from commodity rates, as specified by the Commission for ACRM surcharges, results in a surcharge of \$5.62 to the monthly minimum charge per equivalent billing unit (5/8-inch meter) and \$0.6302 per thousand gallons to the commodity rate.

These ACRM surcharges would increase the monthly bill for the average residential customer using 10,140 gallons by \$12.01 from \$25.53 to \$37.54 (47.1 percent).

Conclusions and Recommendations:

Staff concludes that the Company's request for an extension of time from April 30, 2008 (per Decision No. 68310), to May 31, 2008, in order to file a more complete permanent rate application for its Havasu Water District based on a 2007 test year is reasonable and in the public interest.

Staff recommends that the Company file with the Commission an arsenic removal surcharge tariff consistent with ACRM Schedule DRR-1.

Staff recommends that Arizona-American Havasu Water District notify its customers of the arsenic cost recovery surcharge tariff approved herein within 30 days of the effective date of this Decision.

Staff recommends that in the event that the Applicant fails to file a permanent rate application for its Havasu Water District by May 31, 2008, based on a 2007 test year, the Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism surcharge then in place shall be automatically discontinued.

Ernest G. Johnson

Director

Utilities Division

EGJ:DRR:tdp\JMA

ORIGINATOR: Dennis R. Rogers

ARIZONA-AMERICAN HAVASU WATER DISTRICT Docket No. W-01303A-05-0280

Residential, Commercial, Irrigation, Resale and Miscellaneous Customers

ACRM STEP ONE

			Upper	Limit	Infinite																							
TIER THREE		New	Commodity	Rate	2.5002																							
TE		용	Commodity C	Rate	1.8700																							
			Upper	Limit	13,000	:	Infinite		nfinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	1 2 2				111111111111111111111111111111111111111		Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite
TIER TWO		New	Commodity Commodity	Rate	2.1852	1	2.5002		2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2 5002	2000	7.5002			0	2,5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002
		PIO	Sommodity	Rate	1.5550		1.8700		1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1 8700		1.8700			0	1.8/00	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700
ONE			Upper	Limit	4,000		13,000		30,000	45,000	900'09	000'06	110,000	500,000	000'000	1,000,000		-	0	30,000	000'09	110,000	000'09	110,000	30,000	000'09	110,000	110,000
TIER ONE		New	Commodity	Rate	1.6802	,	2.1852		2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2 1852	2.1032	2.1852				2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852
<u> </u>	J	Step One	Commodity	Surcharge	0.6302		0.6302		0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.000	0.6302				0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302
		PIO	dity	Rate	1.0500		1.5550		1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1 5550	Occc.	1.5550			1	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550
		New	Monthly	Minim	17.40	A/N	17.40	ΑX	29.94	42.48	58.91	80.15	101.39	240.46	349.40	675.76	Ϋ́Z	₹ Z		382.80	487.20	556.80	565.50	582.90	774.30	887.40	_	
			Minimum ACBM Monthy	Fourivalent Usage Charge	5.62		5.62		9.67	13.72	19.03	25.89	32.75	7,007	112.8/	218.26				123.64	157.36	179.84	182.65	188.27	250.09	286.62	362.49	429.93
		Monthly	Minimin	Famivalent	1.00		1.00		1.72	2.44	3.39	4.61	5,83	9 6	20.08	38.84				22.0	28.0	32.0	32.5	33.5	44.5			76.5
			Current Monthly	Usade Charge	11.78	A/N	11.78	A/N	20.27	28.76	39 88	54 26	68 64	0.00	236.59	457.50	A/N	N/A		259.16	329.84	376.96	382.85	394 63	524 21	600 78	759.81	901.17
					Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Motor	Melci	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter										
					Residential 5/8-inch	Residental 3/4-inch	Commercial 5/8-inch	Commercial 3/4-inch	1-inch	1 5-inch	20 c	a inch	4 :: 0	4-Inch	6-inch	8-inch	10-inch	12-inch		Multi-family044 1"	Multi-family056 2"	Multi-family0064 4"	Multi family065 2"	Multi family000 Z	Multi-railingoor 4	Multi family 500 1	Multi-family 102.2	Multi-family153 4"

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1 2 JEFF HATCH-MILLER Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL 3 Commissioner MIKE GLEASON 4 Commissioner 5 KRISTIN K. MAYES Commissioner **BARRY WONG** 6 Commissioner 7 DOCKET NOS. W-01303A-05-0280 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION 8 WS-01303A-02-0867 OF ARIZONA-AMERICAN WATER WS-01303A-02-0869 COMPANY, AN ARIZONA WS-01303A-02-0870 CORPORATION, TO INSTITUTE ITS 10 ACRM STEP ONE FOR ITS HAVASU DECISION NO. WATER DISTRICT 11 **ORDER** 12 13 14 Open Meeting November 21 and 22, 2006 15 Phoenix, Arizona 16 BY THE COMMISSION: 17 INTRODUCTION Pursuant to Decision No. 68310, Arizona-American Water Company", 18 "Applicant" or "Arizona-American") filed an application on April 4, 2006, with the Arizona 19 Corporation Commission ("Commission") requesting authorization to implement Step One of the 20 Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism ("ACRM"). The average residential customer bill would 21 increase by approximately \$12.49 from \$25.53 to \$38.01 (48.9%) under the Company's Step One 22 23 filing. On January 23, 2001, the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") reduced the drinking 24 water maximum contaminant level of arsenic from 50 parts per billion ("ppb") to 10 ppb. All 25 community water systems and non-transient non-community water systems need to comply with 26 27 the new federal rule by the January 23, 2006 deadline.

28

On November 22 and December 13, 2002, the Company filed applications with the Commission for fair value determinations of its utility plant and for permanent rate increases for five of its districts (Sun City West Water and Wastewater, Sun City Water and Wastewater, Havasu and Mohave Water, Agua Fria Water and Wastewater, and Tubac Water). On June 30, 2004, the Commission issued Decision No. 67093 establishing permanent rate increases for these five districts.

On February 15, 2005, the Commission issued Decision No. 67593 granting the Company's request to reopen the record in Decision No. 67093 for the limited purpose of serving as evidentiary basis for future ACRM filings for the affected Arizona-American water districts.

By Procedural Order issued March 29, 2005, Arizona-American was directed to file a new application indicating the relief sought regarding the ACRM, and to consolidate the new application with those existing dockets from Decision No. 67093 that would be affected by the specific relief request in its filing.

On April 15, 2005, the Company filed the above captioned application (Docket No. W-01303A-05-0280) for authority to implement ACRMs for its Agua Fria Water, Sun City West Water, Havasu Water, and Tubac Water Districts.

On May 4, 2005, the Company filed a Motion to Delete the Tubac Water District from its application.

By Procedural Order issued May 6, 2005, the Company's request to delete the Tubac Water District from its application was approved.

On November 14, 2005, the Commission issued Decision No. 68310 granting Arizona-American Water Company's application for authority to implement an Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism and a Havasu District Arsenic Impact Fee ("AIF") Tariff subject to the terms and conditions contained in the Decision.

On May 8, 2006, RUCO filed a Report on its audit of the application.

On May 11, 2006, the Utilities Division ("Staff") filed its Staff Report and Proposed Order.

On May 26, 2006, RUCO filed exceptions to the Proposed Order. RUCO took the following three exceptions: 1. RUCO was not mentioned as having participated in the ACRM

Decision	No.	

process for the Company's Havasu Water District, 2. RUCO disallowed \$45,655 of overhead costs that could not be directly charged to a specific task order, but were allocated to all the arsenic construction projects, 3. RUCO amended its first report concerning AFUDC charges from \$7,591 to Staff's computation of \$26,968. RUCO's restated monthly minimum charge would increase by \$5.62 and the commodity charge would increase by \$0.6302 per thousand gallons.

On May 30, 2006, the Company filed a response to the proposed order regarding implementation of the ACRM. The response requests to modify the requirement set forth in Decision No. 68310 for the Company to file a rate case no later than April 30, 2008, based on a 2007 test year by extending the filing date by 31 days to May 31, 2008.

The item came up for consideration by the Commissioners at the May 31, 2006 Open Meeting.

The Company at that time agreed to waive the overhead charges of \$45,655 which RUCO eliminated in its exceptions filed on May 26, 2006.

The item was pulled from consideration by the Commissioners to recognize RUCO's participation and proposed modifications to the Company's filing.

This Recommended Order and Opinion is an update that recognizes RUCO's participation in the proceeding and presents the effect of recognizing RUCO's revised recommendations.

Staff's original recommendation for the Havasu ACRM surcharge would have increased the average residential customer charge at 10,140 gallons by \$12.30, (48.2 percent) from \$25.33 to \$37.83, based on a meter charge increase of \$5.76 and a commodity charge increase of 0.6453 per thousand gallons.

The removal of the overhead charges of \$45,655 decreases the average residential customer charge by \$0.29 from \$37.83 to \$37.54. The required monthly minimum decreases by \$0.14 from \$5.76 to \$5.62 and the commodity charge per thousand gallons decreases by \$0.0151 from \$0.6453 to \$0.6302.

Decision No. 68310 Requirements for Approval of the Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism

- 1. Arizona-American shall comply with all requirements discussed in this Order as a condition of approval of the Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism.
- 2. Arizona-American shall file a plan with Docket Control by December 31, 2005, that describes how the Company expects to attain and maintain a capital structure (equity,

	3 T		
Decision	N ₁		
Decision	INU.		

Decision No.

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

1.

2.

3.

67093.

26

27

28

- 4. Rate Review a rate review filing for the Havasu Water District.
- 5. Arsenic Revenue Requirement an arsenic revenue requirement calculation for Step One.
- 6. Surcharge Calculation a detailed surcharge calculation.
- 7. Rate Base a schedule showing the elements and the calculation of the rate base, including the deduction for Arsenic Impact Fee Contributions as of April 1, 2006.
- 8. Construction Work In Progress ("CWIP") Ledger a ledger showing the construction work in progress account.
- 9. 4-Factor Allocation for December 2005 a schedule showing the allocation factors for all of the Arizona-American Districts.
- 10. Typical Bill Analysis ACRM Step 1 A typical bill analysis showing the effects on residential customers at various consumption levels as well as the Average Residential change at 10,140 gallons.

Staff concluded that the filed schedules conform with the methodologies originally required by Decision No. 66400 and that were subsequently adopted by Decision No. 68310. Staff concluded that the Company's Step-One ACRM filing for its Havasu Water District is complete and in accordance with Decision No. 68310.

The ACRM schedules provide for the calculation of a surcharge based on financial records and an Earnings Test Schedule that limit the ACRM surcharge revenue to an amount that would not result in a rate of return exceeding that authorized in Decision No. 67093.

Authorization of the Company's requested ACRM in Decision No. 68310 was conditioned upon three other items:

- 1. Arizona-American Water Company shall file a plan with Docket Control by December 31, 2005 that describes how the Company expects to attain and maintain a capital structure (equity, long-term debt, and short-term) with equity representing between to and 60 percent of total capital. The Applicant docketed an equity plan on November 30, 2005.
- 2. For the Havasu District, Arizona-American Water Company shall file with Docket Control by January 31st of each year, an annual calendar year status report, until the AIF Tariff is no longer in effect. The status report shall contain a list of all customers that have paid the AIF. The amount each customer has paid, the amount of money spent from the AIF and list of all facilities that have

	_	
Decision 1	No.	

9

10 11

12

13 14

- 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

been installed with funds from the AIF Tariff. The Applicant docketed an AIF compliance report on February 2, 2006.

3. The Commission is concerned about the impact on the bills of customers served by the Havasu system from the implementation of the ACRM. Consequently, we direct Staff and the Company to open a new proceeding to examine other forms of mitigation of the ACRM for the Havasu system, including the use of hook-up fees for adjacent systems. Compliance with this condition is met by Docket No. W-01303A-05-0890.

Staff made two adjustments to the Company's Havasu Water District Filing. The revised filing removes AFUDC charges of \$26,968 and Overhead charges of \$45,655 which adopts RUCO exceptions per the Company's agreement and results in a reduction in the Company's arsenic treatment plant/rate base from \$1,941,792 to \$1,869,701, and the revenue requirement from \$272,294 to \$262,110. A rate design that generates 50 percent of the revenue requirement from minimum monthly charges and 50 percent from commodity rates, as specified by the Commission for ACRM surcharges, results in a surcharge of \$5.62 to the monthly minimum charge per equivalent billing unit (5/8-inch meter) and \$0.6302 per thousand gallons to the commodity rate. These ACRM surcharges would increase the monthly bill for the average residential customer using 10,140 gallons by \$12.01 from \$25.53 to \$37.54 (47.1 percent).

Staff concludes that the Company's request for an extension of time from April 30, 2008 (per Decision No. 68310), to May 31, 2008, in order to file a more complete permanent rate application for its Havasu Water District based on a 2007 test year is reasonable and in the public interest.

Staff recommends that the Company file with the Commission an arsenic removal surcharge tariff consistent with ACRM Schedule DRR-1.

Staff recommends that Arizona-American Havasu Water District notify its customers of the arsenic cost recovery surcharge tariff approved herein within 30 days of the effective date of this Decision.

Decision No.

2

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

12

11

13 14

15

1617

18

19

20

2122

23

2425

26

27

28

Staff recommends that in the event that the Applicant fails to file a permanent rate application for its Havasu Water District by May 31, 2008, based on a 2007 test year, the Arsenic Cost Recovery Mechanism surcharge then in place shall be automatically discontinued.

Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the Commission finds, concludes, and orders that:

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Pursuant to Decision No. 68310, the Company seeks an arsenic cost removal mechanism surcharge tariff in this proceeding authorizing a monthly surcharge per customer to aid the Company in it efforts to comply with the EPA new arsenic maximum contaminant level of 10 ppb which went into effect on January 23, 2006.
- 2. Pursuant to Decision No. 68310, Arizona-American filed the required schedules prior to the implementation of the ACRM.
- 3. Staff's adjustment reflects application of the correct AFUDC rates and all conforming adjustments should be adopted.
- 4. RUCO's adjustment removing Overhead costs from Arsenic Plant In Service in this case should be adopted.
- 5. Arizona-American shall file permanent rate applications for its Sun City West, Agua Fria, and Havasu districts by no later that May 31, 2008, based on a 2007 test year.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Company is a public water service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. 40-250 and 40-252.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over the Company and of the subject matter of the application.
- 3. Approval of and arsenic cost recovery mechanism is consistent with the Commission's authority under the Arizona Constitution, Arizona ratemaking statutes, and applicable case law.

Decision No.

herein.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

It is in the public interest to approve the Company's request for implementation of 4. the ACRM as discussed herein. **ORDER** IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application by Arizona-American Havasu Water

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the application by Arizona-American Havasu Water District for approval of an arsenic cost recovery mechanism surcharge tariff shall be in accordance with the attached ACRM Schedule DRR-1.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arizona-American Havasu Water District shall notify its customers of the arsenic cost recovery surcharge tariff approved herein within 30 days of the effective date of this Decision.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arizona American shall file, by April 1st of each year subsequent to any year in which it collects surcharges under an ACRM, a report with Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, showing the Company's ending capital structure by month for the prior year.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arizona-American shall file a permanent rate application for its Havasu Water District by no later that May 31, 2008, based on a 2007 test year as per Decision No. 68310.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Arizona-American shall docket an Arsenic Removal Surcharge Tariff consistent with Schedule DRR-1 within 30 days of this Order.

23 24 25

26 27

28

Decision No.

Decision No.

28

SERVICE LIST FOR: Arizona-American Water Company 1 DOCKET NOS.: W-01303A-05-0280 et al 2 3 Mr. Craig A. Marks Arizona-American Water Company 4 101 Corporate Center 19820 North Seventh Street, Suite 201 5 Phoenix, Arizona 85024 6 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson 7 Director, Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 8 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 9 10 Mr. Christopher C. Kempley Chief Counsel, Legal Division 11 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington 12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

ARIZONA-AMERICAN HAVASU WATER DISTRICT Docket No. W-01303A-05-0280

Residential, Commercial, Irrigation, Resale and Miscellaneous Customers

Ц	1
	Z
Č	5
Ξ	_
Ц	Ļ
ū	J
۰	-
Ü	7
=	
=	
0	
)
<	Ľ
	7

			Upper	רושונ	Infinite															D	oc	ke	et I	No	os	. V	V -	01	30)34	4 -0):
	TIER THREE	New	Commodity	Kate	2.5002																											
		PIO	Ϊξ	Kate	1.8/00																											
			_	Limit	13,000		Infinite	;	Infinite				Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite	Infinite											
	TIER TWO	New	Commodity Commodity	Rate	2.1852	6	2.5002	1	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002				2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002	2.5002					
		PIO	commodity	Rate	1.5550		1.8700		1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700				1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700	1.8700					
	NE NE			Limit	4,000		13,000		30,000	45,000	000'09	000'06	110,000	500,000	1,000,000				30,000	000'09	110,000	000'09	110,000	30,000	000'09	110,000	110,000					
	TIER ONE	New	Commodity	Rate	1.6802		2.1852		2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852				2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852	2.1852					
L		Step One		Surcharge	0.6302		0.6302		0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302				0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302	0.6302					
		PIO	Commodity Commodity	Rate	1.0500	•	1.5550		1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	1.5550				1.5550	1.5550	1.5550	_	_	_	_	_						
		New	Monthly	Minimum	17.40	A/N	17.40	V/A	29.94	42.48	58.91	80.15	101.39	349.46	675.76	₹ Z	V.	(382.80	487.20	556.80	565.50	582.90	774.30	887.40	1,122.30	1,331.10					
		_	ACRM Monthy	Equivalent Usage Charge	5.62		5.62		29.67	13.72	19.03	25.89	32.75	112.87	218.26				123.64	157.36	179.84	182.65	188.27	250.09	286.62	362.49	429.93	•				
		Monthly	_	Equivalent L	1.00	_	1.00		1.72	2.44	3.39	4.61	5.83	20.08	38.84				22.0	28.0	32.0	32.5	33.5	44.5	51.0	64.5	76.5					
			Current Monthly	Usage Charge	11.78	A/A	11.78	ΑN	20.27	28.76	39.88	54.26	68.64	236.59	457.50	A/N	V/14	ťŽ	259.16	329.84	376.96	382.85	394.63	524.21	600.78	759.81	901.17					
					Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter	Meter		Meter														
•	÷				Residential 5/8-inch	Residental 3/4-inch	Commercial 5/8-inch	Commercial 3/4-inch	1-inch	1.5-inch	2-inch	3-inch	4-inch	6-inch	8-inch	10 in Ch	1511-0	12-inch	Multi-family044 1"	Multi-family056 2"	Multi-family0064 4"	Multi-family065 2"	Multi-family067 4"	Multi-family089 1"	Multi-family 102 2"	cMulti-family129 4"	Aulti-family153 4"	sio	n i	No). <u>_</u>	